IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 3585 of 2005

Between:

Pattubala Aruna, W/o. Sri Purushothama Reddy,
Vedurukuppam Mandal, R/o. Damarakuppam Village, Vedurukuppam Mandal, Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Chittoor District, Chittoor.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor District, Chittoor.
3 The Circle Inspector of Police, Kavatinagaram Police Station, Chittoor District.
4 The Station House Officer, Vedurkuppam Police Station, Chittoor District.
5 The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Vedurkuppam Police Station, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in not considering the request of the Petitioner for providing police protection for conducting the Mandal Parishad Meetings of Vedurukuppam Mandal as arbitrary, discriminatory and contrary to Law and consequently direct the Respondents to provide police protection to the petitioner forthwith for the Mandal Parishad meeting scheduled to be held at Vedurukuppam on 27-2-2005 or on the subsequently days of the Mandal Parishad meetings and with all other consequential benefits and pass such other order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.JAGAPATHI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

-

ORDER:.

The complaint of the petitioner is that she is unable to convene the meeting without police protection, because, the petitioner is under the threat of various forces in the village.

This Court on 25.2.2005 directed the respondents 2 and 3 to provide necessary police protection to attend the meeting of Mandal Parishad on 27.2.2005. However, it is now reported that the meeting was conducted without being any police protection. It is further submitted by the petitioner that now another meeting has to be conducted and without the assistance of the police, she cannot conduct the meeting, inasmuch as, the petitioner is under the threat of various forces in the village.

The complaint of the petitioner is that the police have not been reacting on the complaint or representation of the petitioner so made. Obviously, all the complaints are against the individuals of the village. This court is not keen nor desirable to go into all other factual aspects.

If really the petitioner is aggrieved by the high handedness of some of the forces in the village, as alleged in the complaint or representation made to the police, petitioner is always at liberty to approach the Magistrate concerned, by way of making a complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. However, it is desirable to mention that as and when there is reasonable apprehension for any aggrieved person, it is obligatory on the part of the police authorities, to react in time.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed. No costs.

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 04.03.2005

Ka

To

1 The District Collector, Chittoor District, Chittoor.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor District, Chittoor.
3 The Circle Inspector of Police, Kavatinagaram Police Station, Chittoor District.
4 The Station House Officer, Vedurkuppam Police Station, Chittoor District.
5 The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Vedurkuppam Police Station, Chittoor District.

6. 2 CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

7. 2 CD Copies.